DR. ED HERNANDEZ, O.D. 24<sup>TH</sup> SENATE DISTRICT CHAIR

> BOB HUFF 29<sup>TH</sup> SENATE DISTRICT VICE CHAIR

TEL (916) 651-4024 FAX (916) 445-0485

## California State Legislature

## SAN GABRIEL VALLEY LEGISLATIVE CAUCUS

REPRESENTING THE CITIES & COMMUNITIES OF THE SAN GABRIEL VALLEY



MEMBERS

SENATORS

RON CALDERON

30TH SENATE DISTRIC

KEVIN DE LEÓN 22<sup>ND</sup> SENATE DISTRICT

CAROL LIU 25" SENATE DISTRICT

ASSEMBLYMEMBERS

IAN CALDERON

ED CHAU

49" ASSEMBLY DISTRIC

CRISTINA GARCIA

CURT HAGMAN

ROGER HERNÁNDEZ

CHRIS HOLDEN

NORMA TORRES

June 19, 2013

Metro Board of Directors c/o Michelle Jackson, Board Secretary One Gateway Plaza Los Angeles, CA 90012

RE: Comments on the Proposed Amendment to the Measure R Expenditure Plan

Chairman Antonovich and Directors,

As members of the San Gabriel Valley Legislative Caucus, an organization of 12 State Senators and Assemblymembers representing more than 2 million county residents living in the San Gabriel Valley, we are writing to urge postponement of the proposed Measure R Expenditure Plan Amendment (amendment) hearing until the proposed Expenditure Plan Amendment can be updated to reflect the latest information known by your agency.

We are supportive of the idea of accelerating critical transportation projects throughout Los Angeles County, including and especially the Gold Line Eastside Extension, which would provide much needed light rail transit in the southern San Gabriel Valley. The amendment as currently presented by staff however, is based on a nearly five-year-old expenditure plan and contains information that is outdated and inaccurate for a number of the Measure R projects. We respectfully request that the status and costs be updated for every Measure R transit capital project to reflect the most current information available before any plan to accelerate funding is considered. Two examples of the lack of updated information in the proposed amendment include:

- 1) Cost estimates for the I-405/Sepulveda Pass and Santa Ana Branch corridors are still listed in the amendment as "TBD," despite the fact that Metro's own documents identify the cost as \$2.5 billion and \$650 million respectively.
- 2) The Gold Line Foothill Extension to Claremont is still identified in the amendment as having a total cost estimate of \$758 million and a completion year of 2017, reflecting the initial segment of the project to Azusa only. Although no additional Measure R funding is expected for this project, any expenditure plan should include the true "estimated total cost" of \$1.714 billion to complete the project to Claremont (as defined by the state legislature in AB 2321), as well as an updated completion year.

In addition, we also ask that any project acceleration plan provide cost estimates for the acceleration of each project and clear details on how Metro intends to fund said acceleration. Key details that should be addressed as part of an updated proposed amendment include:

- How much additional funding is needed to accelerate projects?
- Will Measure R funds be used to accelerate projects? If so, how much for each project?
- What other funding sources is Metro anticipating to use, and at what funding level?
- Will acceleration result in earlier project completion? If so, what are the new completion dates?
- What are the potential savings per region with acceleration? If any, savings should be detailed in the draft Expenditure Plan.

Without updated project status and costs for all Measure R projects, as well as a detailed funding strategy for the acceleration of the five projects, we believe the proposed amendment lacks transparency and does not meet the intent of AB 2321:

"The expenditure plan shall include, in addition to other projects and programs identified by the MTA, the specified projects and programs listed in paragraph (3) of subdivision (b), the estimated total cost for each project and program, funds other than the tax revenues that the MTA anticipates will be expended on the projects and programs, and the schedule during which the MTA anticipates funds will be available for each project and program. The MTA shall also identify in its expenditure plan the expected completion dates for each project described in subparagraph (A) of paragraph (3) of subdivision (b)." (Pub. Util. Code, 130350.5(f), emph. Added.)

We would also like to reiterate another requirement of AB 2321 that is referred to in Footnote J of Attachment A in your Proposed Amendment: for all projects funded from other sources on or before December 31, 2008, the funds freed-up by passage of this sales tax shall remain in the subregion in which the project is located for projects or programs of regional significance.

As members of the San Gabriel Valley Legislative Caucus, we stand in support of the idea of accelerating vital transportation projects throughout Los Angeles County and look forward to working with Metro to make this happen. However, we respectfully request that Metro postpone any planned hearing on the proposed Measure R Expenditure Plan Amendment until Metro staff updates the expenditure plan to reflect the most current information available for all Measure R transit projects and provides a more detailed explanation of the funding strategy to accelerate project completion and how that will impact completion of other Measure R transit projects.

Respectfully,

Ed Hernandez, O.D., Chair Senator, District 24 Bob Huff, Vice Chair

Senator, District 29

Carol five Sign
Caro Liv Print
Sign
Print
Roger Hernandez Print
Kon Cille
Ron Calderon Print
Sign

CHRIS HOLDEN

Print

Normaxons
Sign
NORMA TORRES
Print
Sign
Print
CD:
Sign
CAISTING GARCI'A Print